



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,308	04/22/2005	Hideaki Kito	029650-168	1506
21839	7590	04/16/2007	EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC			MEHTA, BHISMA	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			3767	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication..

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,308	KITO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bhisma Mehta	3767	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 January 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, and 6-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 4, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Utterberg (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,021). Utterberg discloses a syringe comprising a mouth portion at the distal end of an outer hollow cylinder (300) with a male taper portion (310) to be fitted in a bore portion of a first female connector and a bore portion of a second female connector and a passage. In lines 48-51 of column 5, Utterberg discloses that the male taper portion may puncture or be fitted in a bore portion of a first female connector (200). The syringe also comprises a lock adapter (320) that is provided at an outer peripheral portion of the mouth portion. The lock adapter is relatively movable in the axial direction of the mouth portion. The lock adapter has a male-side screw engagement portion (326) for making screw engagement with a female-side screw engagement portion (15). The lock adapter can be retracted to a retraction position as shown in Figure 7 where the male taper portion may be fitted into the bore of a first female connector (see line 64 of column 6 to line 13 of column 7). In Figure 6, Utterberg shows a distal end fixation position where the lock adapter is fixed to the mouth portion. The lock adapter can be restrained from rotating about the mouth portion as seen in Figure 8 where the flange (330) of the adapter is depressed such that

the end surface (331) of the lock adapter is radially within the outer surface of the ridge (312) of the mouth portion. Additionally, the lock adapter would be restrained from rotating about the mouth portion at any time that the user does not rotate the lock adapter. In lines 32-49 of column 6, Utterberg teaches that the lock is adapter is relatively rotatable about the mouth portion on the distal end side relative to the retraction position. As to claim 6, the male taper portion protrudes beyond the distal end of the lock adapter by not less than 2.1 mm as shown in Figure 6 and disclosed in lines 26-31 of column 6. As to claim 7, the lock adapter is considered to be relatively movable by not less than 5.4 mm along the axial direction of the mouth portion as it is capable of being moved along the entire length of the mouth portion. As to claim 8, the inside diameter of the mouth portion is seen to be not less than 1.2 mm as the inside diameter varies along the length of the mouth portion and the male taper portion can be fitted into the bore of the first female connector with an inner diameter of about 5.1 mm.

3. Claims 1, 4, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gettig et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,609,584). In Figure 11, Gettig et al show a cap (240) to be mounted to a mouth portion of a syringe outer hollow cylinder (112) having a male taper portion (136) and a lock adapter (200) provided at an outer peripheral portion of the mouth portion. The lock adapter is relatively movable and relatively rotatable along the axial direction of the mouth portion and has a male-side screw engagement portion (222) for making screw engagement with a female-side screw engagement portion of a connector. The lock adapter can be retracted to a retraction position as shown in Figure 11A where the male taper portion may be fitted into the bore of a female connector. In

line 59 of column 6 to line 20 of column 7, Gettig et al disclose a distal end side fixation position where the lock adapter is initially fixed onto the distal end of the mouth portion and a rotation permitting position where the lock adapter is relatively rotatable about the mouth portion on a distal end side relative to the retraction position. The lock adapter can be restrained from rotating about the mouth portion when the pins (60) of the lock adapter are in the portion (166) of the slot (164) as seen in Figure 6. Additionally, the lock adapter would be restrained from rotating about the mouth portion at any time that the user does not rotate the lock adapter. The cap (240) has a bottomed hollow-cylindrical cap main body with a bore portion (shown at 208 in Figure 11), a female-side screw engagement portion for screw engagement with the male-side screw engagement portion (222), and a packing formed of an elastic material provided in the bore portion of the cap main body where at least a part of the inner peripheral surface of the bore portion makes close contact with the male taper portion over the entire circumference when the cap main body is mounted to the mouth portion. In line 64 of column 7 to line 8 of column 8, Gettig et al disclose that the cap creates a fluid-tight seal to maintain the sterility of the mouth portion. As to claim 13, Gettig et al disclose the syringe having a syringe outer hollow cylinder, a lock adapter, a cap, and a liquid preparation filling the syringe outer hollow cylinder and further teach sterilizing the syringe outer hollow cylinder and cap (see lines 49-61 of column 5), mounting the cap to the mouth portion, and feeding the liquid preparation into the syringe outer hollow cylinder (see lines 58-63 of column 3). Gettig et al teach maintaining sterility which is accomplished by using the syringe in a sterile environment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utterberg (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,021). Utterberg discloses a syringe where the male taper portion protrudes beyond the distal end of the lock adapter by at least about 4 mm when the lock adapter is in a retraction position as shown in Figure 7. In lines 38-58 of column 4, Utterberg discloses that the length of the male taper portion which protrudes beyond the lock adapter is chosen such that the syringe is capable of piercing commonly used female connectors such as the fluid bag ports which is shown in Figure 3. In lines 24-42 of column 3, Utterberg teaches that the distance between a membrane to be punctured or spiked and the opening of the fluid bag port is about 9.0 mm. Therefore, it is seen that the desired length of the male taper portion which protrudes beyond the lock adapter would be chosen depending on the type and length of the female connector to which it is to be connected and thus this length could be chosen to be no less than 7.5 mm. Similarly, the length of the mouth portion could be chosen to be in the range of 16 to 20 mm depending on the type and length of the female connector to which it is to be connected.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utterberg (U.S. Patent No. 5,047,021) in view of Langer et al (U.S. Patent No.

Art Unit: 3767

6,004,295). Utterberg discloses the syringe substantially as claimed. However, Utterberg is silent on a gasket being slidable in the outer hollow cylinder and a volume of space defined by the outer hollow cylinder and the gasket being not more than 0.1 mL when the gasket is located at the distal end of the outer hollow cylinder. Langer et al disclose a syringe having a outer hollow cylinder (32) and a gasket (38) where the volume of space defined by the outer hollow cylinder and the gasket is not more than 0.1 mL when the gasket is located at the distal end of the outer hollow cylinder as the syringe is capable of delivering aliquots of fluid over a volumetric range of 0.05-1 mL where the volume delivered can correspond to the entire internal volume in the outer hollow cylinder. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the syringe of Utterberg with a gasket slidable in the outer hollow cylinder where a volume of space defined by the outer hollow cylinder and the gasket is not more than 0.1 mL when the gasket is located at the distal end of the outer hollow cylinder as taught by Langer et al as Langer et al teach that it is desirable to use a gasket to transfer medication from a syringe and to further provide for the delivery of specific volumes of medications such as between 0.05 and 1 mL.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettig et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,609,584) in view of Tucker et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,632,199). Gettig et al disclose the method substantially as claimed. However, Gettig et al are silent on mounting the cap to the mouth portion and performing sterilization under this condition. In lines 1-9 of column 4, Tucker et al teach mounting a cap onto a mouth portion of a syringe and then performing sterilization. It would have been obvious to

one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the cap onto the mouth portion of the syringe of Gettig et al and then sterilize the cap and the syringe as taught by Tucker et al as both Gettig et al and Tucker et al teach providing a syringe with a cap for the purpose of maintaining a sterile condition and Tucker et al teach that it is well known to perform sterilization after a cap has been mounted to a syringe.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utterberg in view of Caizza (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,696). Utterberg discloses the syringe substantially as claimed. However, Utterberg is silent on the outer peripheral surface of the mouth portion having a plurality of ribs and the inner peripheral surface of a proximal end portion of the lock adapter having a plurality of pawls where the ribs abut the pawls to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion. Caizza discloses a syringe having a mouth portion with a plurality of ribs (39) projecting outward and extending along an axial direction of the mouth portion and a lock adapter (40) having a plurality of pawls (24) on the inner peripheral surface of the proximal end portion of the adapter where the ribs abut the pawls to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion (lines 65 of column 5 to line 19 of column 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the outer peripheral surface of the mouth portion and the inner peripheral surface of the end portion of the lock adapter of Utterberg with a plurality of ribs and a plurality of pawls, respectively, as taught by Caizza as Caizza teaches that it is well known to provide ribs and pawls to the mouth portion of the syringe and the lock

adapter, respectively, in order to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion, thus allowing the user to have a larger surface to grip and rotate the syringe.

9. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettig et al in view of Caizza (U.S. Patent No. 5,755,696). Gettig et al disclose the syringe substantially as claimed. However, Gettig et al are silent on the outer peripheral surface of the mouth portion having a plurality of ribs and the inner peripheral surface of a proximal end portion of the lock adapter having a plurality of pawls where the ribs abut the pawls to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion. Caizza discloses a syringe having a mouth portion with a plurality of ribs (39) projecting outward and extending along an axial direction of the mouth portion and a lock adapter (40) having a plurality of pawls (24) on the inner peripheral surface of the proximal end portion of the adapter where the ribs abut the pawls to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion (lines 65 of column 5 to line 19 of column 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the outer peripheral surface of the mouth portion and the inner peripheral surface of the end portion of the lock adapter of Gettig et al with a plurality of ribs and a plurality of pawls, respectively, as taught by Caizza as Caizza teaches that it is well known to provide ribs and pawls to the mouth portion of the syringe and the lock adapter, respectively, in order to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion, thus allowing the user to have a larger surface to grip and rotate the syringe.

10. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gettig et al in view of Tucker et al as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Caizza.

Gettig et al and Tucker et al disclose the syringe substantially as claimed. However, Gettig et al and Tucker et al are silent on the outer peripheral surface of the mouth portion having a plurality of ribs and the inner peripheral surface of a proximal end portion of the lock adapter having a plurality of pawls where the ribs abut the pawls to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion. Caizza discloses a syringe having a mouth portion with a plurality of ribs (39) projecting outward and extending along an axial direction of the mouth portion and a lock adapter (40) having a plurality of pawls (24) on the inner peripheral surface of the proximal end portion of the adapter where the ribs abut the pawls to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion (lines 65 of column 5 to line 19 of column 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the outer peripheral surface of the mouth portion and the inner peripheral surface of the end portion of the lock adapter of Gettig et al with a plurality of ribs and a plurality of pawls, respectively, as taught by Caizza as Caizza teaches that it is well known to provide ribs and pawls to the mouth portion of the syringe and the lock adapter, respectively, in order to restrain the lock adapter from rotating about the mouth portion, thus allowing the user to have a larger surface to grip and rotate the syringe.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. With regards to a specific portion of claim 11, Applicant's arguments that Gettig et al does not show at

least a part of the inner peripheral surface of the bore portion of the cap making close contact with the male taper portion over the entire circumference when the cap main body is mounted to the mouth portion are not persuasive. In Figure 11, a part of the inner peripheral surface of the bore portion of the cap does make close contact with the male taper portion over the entire circumference when the cap main body is mounted to the mouth portion as the inner peripheral surface of the bore portion adjacent to the male taper portion is shown to make close contact with the male taper portion. Additionally, Gettig et al disclose that the cap creates a fluid-tight seal around the mouth portion, therefore, indicating that the close contact is made with the entire circumference.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3767

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bhisma Mehta whose telephone number is 571-272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


BM

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

